

96-98

From: H Morrison
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sun, Feb 9.2003 10:37 PM
Subject: <No Subject>

Mr. Powell:

I am not a big political fan of Mr. Tauzin but the quote below is what needs to be done.

Maybe AT&T should have been more like Microsoft when the government want to break them up.

I am not saying they should not have been broken up but if the Federal Government is going to brake up

a company and establish rules/laws for the break up. They should not change the rules to help destroy AT&T unless

that was what the Federal Government wanted to do in the first place

I worked as salesman and technical support in the telecommunication industry for 38 years. (I retired from Western Union)

and I was there when MCI started up. I was there when Southern Pacific RR started Sprint.

There has been for several years competition in the long lines communication. The railroads played a major role.

Local service to homes is not easy with dealing with local/county/state government. Plus all the recurring cost.

Do you know what it costs to hang a wire on a pole

The same wire from the pole to my house was run over 36 years ago (I can not remember the wire ever replaced)

My local cost has gone up. My long distance has gone down

Yes I did vote for Al Gore.

I wish you and the other FCC commissioners the best of wise decision to be made.

I do not believe you could undo the 1996 telecommunication act if you wanted. No matter how harmful it was. All you

can do is make new laws. If your new laws favor the Bell Companies like I believe it will. I believe it will be harmful.

As far as high speed internet access goes as long as there is demand there will be service. Let it grow at its own pace.

I don't hear the people complaining

Homer Morrison

"Michael Powell's FCC needs to rip the rules put in place by Al Gore and Reed Hundt out by the roots and

throw them away." said Louisiana Republican Rep. Billy Tauzin at a hearing last week, seeking to blame the previous Democratic administration for the industry's current slump.

From: Joe Nash
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sun, Feb 9.2003 10:11 PM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Joe Nash(joeynash@att.net) writes:

Please show some brains in this whole telecom mess you folks created. The thought that you all would let the SBC's of the world join with first PacBell and then Ameritech is amazing!!! I sell to telecom hardware SBC and even though you would think I would be selfish and want them to grow regardless of the cost to the consumer that is not the case. Stop this BS and ~~do~~ not think that cable or some other technolgy will provide in the short term the boost to the telecom sector. The bells are a monopoly which is hard to imagine in this day and age. And by the way thanks for letting the my AT&T stock dwindle down to nothing as you let the bells into LD without living up to there end of the bargain that they agreed and pushed for.

Joe Nash

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 24.217.69.140
Remote IP address: 24.217.69.140

From: Cdgummer@aol.com
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sun, Feb 9.2003 4:47 PM
Subject: (no subject)

I received the following message: " The folks that control Internet Law in this country are the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC). These government bureaucrats have been persuaded by the huge phone companies of this country that laws should be suspended, competition should be abandoned, and free reign over your Internet service should be given to them, THE FCC, exclusively. Your world will change dramatically if these laws are abandoned and the phone companies are turned loose to pillage. The dangers are that: You will lose your independent ISP; You will be able to purchase only the products and services the phone company wants you to have. You will purchase available services at whatever price they want you to pay. You will be largely ignored if you are not in a high density, high income area.

New products will cease to arrive. What incentives will the **Bells** have to do research and development once the monopoly is restored? You will be assured of having LOUSY customer service, SOMEWHAT LIKE WHAT YOU NOW GET FROM THE PHONE COMPANY. (depressing!)"

Sir, I believe if you allow this to happen, you are not representing the majority of the people. I would have to give up my ability to be on line and research medical ways to help my family live day to day, help the children to learn (a vast majority of our teachers in our state are losing their jobs due to budget short falls).

If the above statement is true, please remember who you truly work for, the people or public at large

Respectfully,

Cathleen Gummer

From: Lorne Hook
To: Lorne Hook
Date: Sun, Feb 9, 2003 10:16 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Kohl
Senator Feingold
Representative Baldwin
Message text follows:

RECEIVED

FEB 13 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Lorne Hook
11010 HIGH ST
BLUE MOUNDS, WI 53517-9660

February 9, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely

Lorne Hook

From: Barbara Blanton
To: Barbara Blanton
Date: Sun, Feb 9, 2003 10:59 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Edwards
Representative Myrick
Message text follows:

Barbara Blanton
2084 South Point Rd
Belmont, NC 28012

February 9, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet **access** facilities of open **access** obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Barbara Blanton

From: Harry Deel
Date: Sun, Feb 9, 2003 10:11 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator DeWine
Senator Voinovich
Representative Turner
Message text follows:

Harry Deel
1123 Case ct.
Miamisburg, OH 45342

February 9, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Harry Deel

From: Lorne Hook
To: Lorne Hook
Date: Sun, Feb 9, 2003 10:19 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Kohl
Senator Feingold
Representative Baldwin
Message text follows:

RECEIVED

FEB 13 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Lorne Hook
11010 HIGH ST
BLUE MOUNDS, WI 53517-9660

February 9, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Lorne Hook

From: Marjorie L. Roush
Date: Sun, Feb 9, 2003 8:55 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Grassley
Senator Harkin
Representative Boswell
Message text follows:

Marjorie L. Roush
4008 Douglas Avenue
Des Moines, IA 50310

February 9, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Marjorie L. Roush

From: Juan Falcon
To:
Date: Sun, Feb 9, 2003 10:45AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Dayton
Senator Coleman
Representative Kline
Message text follows:

Juan Falcon
2402 E 115th St
Burnsville, MN 55337-1215

February 9, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Juan J Falcon

From: Lawrence Henderson
Date: Sun, Feb 9, 2003 10:45 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Lincoln
Senator Pryor
Representative Boozman
Message text follows:

Lawrence Henderson
25131 West Hy. 72
Gravette, AR 72736

February 9, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service. I would also ask that a 50 mile radius be introduced as local service as in some large cities it is a form of theft if you are charged for long distance when the call is just across the street as it is now thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Henderson

From: Randall Smith
Date: Sun, Feb 9, 2003 8:32 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Graham

Message text follows:

Randall Smith
3 Dunrobin Lane
Simpsonville, SC 29681

February 9, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Randall Smith

From: Valeria Mujat

Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Dole

Message text follows:

Valeria Mujat
103 Arbuckle Lane
Cary, NC 27511-4358

February 9, 2003

[recipient address was insetted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition **and** open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Valeria Mujat